

**Allelopathic activity of dichloromethane fraction of *Campomanesia lineatifolia* (R. & P.) on the germination of *Rumex crispus* (L.) and *Amaranthus hybridus* (L.)**

Actividad alelopática de la fracción de diclorometano de *Campomanesia lineatifolia* (R. & P.) sobre la germinación de *Rumex crispus* (L.) y *Amaranthus hybridus* (L.)

ANGELA MARÍA HURTADO-GUTIÉRREZ<sup>1,6</sup>

EDGAR PALACIOS-ORTEGA<sup>1,2</sup>

JUAN PABLO HERNÁNDEZ<sup>4,5</sup>

HELBER ENRIQUE BALAGUERA-LÓPEZ<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad El Bosque, Faculty of Science, Biology Program, Biology Research Laboratory (INBIBO), Biology Research Group of Universidad El Bosque (GRIB), Bogota (Colombia). ORCID Hurtado-Gutiérrez, A.M.: <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-9252-6177>; ORCID Palacios-Ortega, E.: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3349-824X>

<sup>2</sup> Universidad de Bogotá Jorge Tadeo Lozano, Doctorado en Ciencias Ambientales y Sostenibilidad. Bogota (Colombia)

<sup>3</sup> Universidad Rosario Castellanos, Doctorado en Ciencias de la Sustentabilidad, Ciudad de Mexico (Mexico). ORCID Hernández, J.P.: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1175-0109>

<sup>4</sup> Bashan Institute of Science, Auburn (USA)

<sup>5</sup> Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Sede Bogotá, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Departamento de Agronomía, Bogota (Colombia). ORCID Balaguera-López, H.E.: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3133-0355>

<sup>6</sup> Corresponding author. [palaciosedgar@unbosque.edu.co](mailto:palaciosedgar@unbosque.edu.co)

**Last name:** HURTADO-GUTIÉRREZ / PALACIOS-ORTEGA / HERNÁNDEZ / BALAGUERA-LÓPEZ

**Short title:** ALLELOPATHIC ACTIVITY OF *CAMPOMANESIA LINEATIFOLIA* SEEDS ON *RUMEX CRISPUS* AND *AMARANTHUS HYBRIDUS* GERMINATION



*Campomanesia lineatifolia* seeds.

Photo: A.M. Hurtado-Gutiérrez

Doi: <https://doi.org/10.17584/rcch.2024v18i3.17995>

Received: 08-08-2024 Accepted: 17-09-2024 Published: 15-11-2024

## ABSTRACT

Due to the effects generated by the use of chemical herbicides, there is a need to seek more environmentally friendly ways to combat weeds. The allelopathic effect of *Campomanesia lineatifolia* seed extract on weed germination has been studied; however, information is still lacking regarding the components responsible for the allelopathic effect of the extract. Therefore, the objective of this study was to evaluate the allelopathic activity of the dichloromethane fraction of *C. lineatifolia* extract on the germination of *Rumex crispus* and *Amaranthus hybridus*. To achieve this, a hydroalcoholic extract of the seeds of *C. lineatifolia* was prepared and subsequently fractionated through sequential extraction with dichloromethane. The concentrations used in the germination tests were 0, 100, 300, and 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. The percentage of germination (PG), mean germination speed (MGS), mean germination time (MGT), and seed viability were assessed. In *R. crispus*, low concentrations (100 and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) favored germination, reaching a PG of 75.5 and 64.5%, respectively. However, the highest concentration (600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) significantly inhibited germination (77% inhibition). In the case of *A. hybridus*, all treatments with concentrations of the dichloromethane fraction inhibited germination compared to the 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment, with the inhibition being most pronounced at 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (98.5% inhibition). Furthermore, the MGS decreased as concentrations increased in both species, while the MGT increased in *A. hybridus* with higher concentrations. In conclusion, the dichloromethane fraction of the *C. lineatifolia* extract exhibits allelopathic activity, which can be either positive or negative depending on the species to which it is applied and the concentrations used.

**Additional key words:** botanical pesticides; bioprospecting; Myrtaceae; hydroalcoholic extract of seeds; germination inhibitors; weed control.

## RESUMEN

Debido a los efectos generados por el uso de herbicidas químicos, existe la necesidad de buscar formas más amigables con el medio ambiente para combatir las malezas. Se ha estudiado el efecto alelopático del extracto de semilla de *Campomanesia lineatifolia* sobre la germinación de malezas; sin embargo, aún falta información sobre los componentes responsables del efecto alelopático del extracto. Por lo tanto, el objetivo de este estudio fue evaluar la actividad alelopática de la fracción diclorometánica del extracto de *C. lineatifolia* sobre la germinación de *Rumex crispus* y *Amaranthus hybridus*. Para lograrlo, se preparó un extracto hidroalcohólico de las semillas de *C. lineatifolia* y posteriormente se fraccionó mediante extracción secuencial con diclorometano. Las concentraciones utilizadas en las pruebas de germinación fueron 0, 100, 300 y 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. Se evaluó el porcentaje de germinación (PG), la velocidad media de germinación (VMG), el tiempo medio de germinación (TMG) y la viabilidad de las semillas. En *R. crispus*, las concentraciones bajas (100 y 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) favorecieron la germinación, alcanzando una PG de 75,5 y 64,5%, respectivamente. Sin embargo, la concentración más alta (600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) inhibió significativamente la germinación (77% de inhibición). En el caso de *A. hybridus*, todos los tratamientos con concentraciones de la fracción diclorometano inhibieron la germinación en comparación con el tratamiento de 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, siendo la inhibición más pronunciada con 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (98,5% de inhibición). Además, la VMG disminuyó al aumentar las concentraciones en ambas especies, mientras que la TMG aumentó en *A. hybridus* con concentraciones más altas. En conclusión, la fracción diclorometano del extracto de *C. lineatifolia* exhibe actividad alelopática, que puede ser positiva o negativa dependiendo de la especie a la que se aplique y las concentraciones utilizadas.

**Palabras clave adicionales:** plaguicida de origen vegetal; bioprospección; Myrtaceae; extracto hidroalcohólico de semillas; inhibidores de la germinación; control de malezas.

## INTRODUCTION

Weeds are unwanted plants that cause unfavorable changes in and economic loss of crops, as well as plants growing where they are not desired (Zimdahl, 2018). Weeds are a problem because

they compete with different crops for important resources such as space, water, soil nutrients, light, and others (Chauvel *et al.*, 2012). They cause a direct loss of approximately 10% in agricultural production and incur significant expenses due to reduced quality and quantity of products (Zimdahl, 2018; Cobb, 2022).

The genus *Rumex* (Polygonaceae) is known to host species of interest for crops, but it also includes species considered as weeds, such as *Rumex crispus*. This plant has a widespread distribution and is considered problematic in cultivated lands and pastures due to its fast growth rate and resistance to adverse environmental factors (Petrova *et al.*, 2015; Feduraev *et al.*, 2019). *Amaranthus hybridus* from the Amaranthaceae family is a commonly found weed in rural areas (Ngoroyemoto *et al.*, 2019). It is a species of concern due to its high fertility, genetic variability, stress tolerance, and, most notably, its ability to develop resistance to herbicides. García *et al.* (2020) reported approximately 30 cases where *A. hybridus* exhibited resistance to herbicides with different modes of action, including glyphosate.

Due to the negative effects resulting from the use of chemical herbicides, the implementation of new sustainable and efficient options against weeds is necessary (Kudsk and Streibig, 2003; Cobb, 2022). The biochemical interactions between plants (allelopathy) have been studied to produce bioherbicides by releasing and producing allelochemicals, which are secondary metabolites that can exhibit positive or negative allelopathy on the germination, growth, survival and reproduction of neighboring plants (El-Gawad *et al.*, 2019; Zhu *et al.*, 2021).

Among the species studied for their allelopathic activity is the champa tree (*Campomanesia lineatifolia* R. & P.), a native fruit tree of the Amazon with significant commercial potential. Its fruits contain six to eight seeds, which possess a wide variety of allelochemicals that have demonstrated bioherbicidal activity (Cabeza *et al.*, 2021; González *et al.*, 2021; Martínez *et al.*, 2022). Secondary metabolites, including flavonoids, tannins, terpenes, and quercetin, have been documented in the leaves, fruits, and seeds of a species from the *Campomanesia* genus, which exhibit antioxidant and allelopathic activity (Martínez *et al.*, 2022; Sugauara *et al.*, 2023). Maestre *et al.* (2023) characterized the phenolic compounds present in the seed extract of *C. lineatifolia*, identifying 20 compounds grouped into catechins, phenolic acids, flavonoids, and anthocyanins. This study revealed the negative allelopathic activity of the *C. lineatifolia* hydroalcoholic extract on the germination of *R. crispus* and *A. hybridus*.

The extraction method using organic solvents is a common tool, due to its simple methodology and good yield. Plant extract fractionation is used to sequentially separate the secondary metabolites present in the extracts according to their different polarities (Barbosa *et al.*, 2023). Methylene chloride or dichloromethane is a polar solvent (less polar than water) commonly used in fractionation because of its polarity, separates moderately polar compounds (Rojas, 2021). Among the polar compounds identified by Maestre *et al.* (2023) in the *C. lineatifolia* extract are anthocyanins (Shams *et al.*, 2024), flavonoids (Muhamad *et al.*, 2014), and catechins (Flórez, 2018).

The specific secondary metabolite responsible for the allelopathic activity observed in the seed extract of *C. lineatifolia* remains unidentified. To elucidate the compounds contributing to this activity, it is essential to separate and analyse the various constituents present in the extract. Therefore, this study aimed to extract the compounds from the dichloromethane fraction of *C. lineatifolia* and evaluate their herbicidal or allelopathic effects on the germination of *R. crispus* and *A. hybridus* seeds.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Seed collection

Plant samples of *R. crispus* and *A. hybridus* were collected in the municipality of Paipa, Boyaca (Colombia), identified using the guide by De Rzedowski (2005). From these samples, the seeds were extracted using tweezers and left to dry at room temperature.

The champa seeds were manually collected from mature fruits grown in the municipality of Miraflores (Boyaca), identified using the guides by Landrum (1986) and Parra (2014). Subsequently, they were washed to remove any remaining fruit residue, dried at room temperature, and stored in a refrigerator at 4°C.

### Preparation of hydroalcoholic extract and its fractions for germination tests

The hydroalcoholic extract and its fraction were obtained following the methods proposed by Haddou *et al.* (2024) and Abdel Ghani *et al.* (2023) as follows. A total of 900 g of dried seeds of *C. lineatifolia* were crushed and subsequently distributed into glass containers, which were

covered with paper. To each glass container, 300 g of crushed seeds and 1 L of 96% ethanol were added to each glass and contents were constantly agitated.

After 2 weeks, the solution was filtered, and the remaining liquid was subjected to a distillation process using a rotary evaporator (Heidolph HS digital, Schwabach, Germany) at a temperature range of 65-70°C and a pressure of 53.3 kPa. Then, the remaining solution was placed at room temperature in glass containers with air inlets to remove excess ethanol. To expedite the process, the extract was evenly heated using water baths until it solidified.

The pure extract was further separated via sequential solvent extraction. For this, 120 g of the extract was fractionated using solvents of increasing polarity. The process was initiated with petroleum ether, and the residue was then mixed with dichloromethane. The resulting mixture was distilled using a rotary evaporator to obtain the dichloromethane fraction. This fraction was used for the germination tests and was diluted in distilled water to create three different concentrations: 100, 300, and 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>.

### **Experimental design and treatments**

For the germination tests of *R. crispus* and *A. hybridus*, completely randomized designs were conducted, comprising four treatments and four replications for each species. The treatments varied in the concentrations of the dichloromethane fraction using concentrations of 0, 100, 300, and 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. In total, 16 experimental units were set up for each species, each comprising a Petri dish with an absorbent paper on which 50 seeds were placed (Martínez *et al.*, 2022; Maestre *et al.*, 2023). The respective treatments were applied every 3 d using only distilled water for the 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment. The experiments were separately conducted for each species over 24 d, maintaining an average temperature of 20±2°C and a natural photoperiod of 12 h.

### **Germination variables and seed viability**

Germination readings were conducted daily throughout the duration of the experiments, starting from the time of sowing and recording the seed germination with a visible radicle >2mm (Maestre *et al.*, 2023) that additionally exhibited radicle curvature. Using the formulas reported by Maestre *et al.* (2023) and Niño-Hernandez *et al.* (2020), the percentage of germination (PG), mean germination speed (MGS) (seeds/d), and mean germination time (MGT) (d) were calculated.

For the seed viability assay, longitudinal cuts were made on seeds that failed to germinate during the germination test. A 1% solution of 2,3,5-triphenyl tetrazolium was prepared using distilled water. Subsequently, the seeds (separated by treatments) were immersed in Petri dishes with absorbent paper and tetrazolium solution, following the method described by Niño-Hernandez *et al.* (2020). Finally, they were kept at 37°C in darkness for 2 h to evaluate viability according to the categories nonviable, doubtful, and viable seeds, proposed for *A. hybridus* and *R. crispus* (Niño-Hernandez *et al.* 2020; Maestre *et al.*, 2023).

### Statistical analysis

In SPSS software version 23, the Shapiro-Wilk test and Levene test were performed to assess the normality and homogeneity of variances, respectively. Variables that met the assumptions were subjected to an analysis of variance and, if significant differences ( $P<0.05$ ) were observed, they were subjected to Tukey's test ( $P<0.05$ ) for mean comparisons. Variables that did not meet the assumptions were transformed using the arcsine $\sqrt{x}$  and square root functions.

A logistic model (Eq. 1) was fitted using the R version 3.6.0 program to determine the behavior over time for the percentage and germination rate curves. The first derivative of the model was then calculated to obtain the germination rate (Cepeda *et al.*, 2021; Leguizamó-Medina *et al.*, 2022)

$$Y = \frac{a}{1 + e^{-b*(T-c)}} \quad (1)$$

where, "Y" is the germination percentage, "a" is the maximum magnitude of the variable, "b" corresponds to the slope of the curve, "c" is the moment of highest rate, and "T" is the time at which the highest rate occurs.


## RESULTS

### Behavior of germination as a function of time for *R. crispus*


The behavior of germination as a function of time was adjusted using the logistic model (Fig. 1, Tab. 1). Seeds subjected to concentrations of 100 and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> dichloromethane fraction from *C. lineatifolia* exhibited higher germination than those exposed to 0 and 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> throughout most of the duration of the experiment. At the end of the experiment, the seeds treated with a concentration of 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> showed the highest germination percentage. However, as

shown in figure 1A, the seeds treated with 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> consistently germinated in larger quantities than those treated with 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, which exhibited lower germination behavior and did not reach even 20% during the 24 d duration of the experiment.

A



B



**Figure 1. Germination behavior of *Rumex crispus* percentage (A) and germination rate (B) in response to different concentrations of the dichloromethane fraction from *Campomanesia lineatifolia* over time.**

Figure 1B shows the behavior of the germination rate over time. It is evident that treatment with a concentration of 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> reached the peak germination rate fastest, at day 8 after



sowing. However, after reaching the peak, the rate decreased. Throughout the experiment, the treatment with 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> exhibited a lower germination rate. The treatments with 0 and 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> remained similar in terms of germination rate, although the 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment achieved a higher germination rate than the 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment.


**Table 1. Logistic model equations for the germination percentage of *Rumex crispus* to different concentrations of the dichloromethane fraction from *Campomanesia lineatifolia*.**

Treatment	Equation	RMSE
0 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	$Y = \frac{58.00302}{1 + e^{-0.53983 * (d - 9.08688)}}$	2.774467***
100 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	$Y = \frac{72.300876}{1 + e^{-0.387909 * (d - 9.450485)}}$	3.183***
300 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	$Y = \frac{61.112978}{1 + e^{-0.749819 * (d - 8.100107)}}$	2.800106***
600 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	$Y = \frac{20.706775}{1 + e^{-0.381949 * (d - 12.855834)}}$	1.134929***

RMSE: root mean square error. \*\*\*  $P < 0.001$ .

### Germination and mean germination speed of *R. crispus*

The 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment showed significant differences in germination percentage ( $P < 0.05$ ) compared with the treatments of 0, 100, and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of dichloromethane fraction from *C. lineatifolia*. The treatment with 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> exhibited the highest germination percentage (75.5±1.71%), whereas the treatment with 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> showed the lowest percentage (23±9.75%, Fig. 2A).




**Figure 2. Effect of different concentrations of the dichloromethane fraction from *Campomanesia lineatifolia* on the germination (A) and mean germination rate – MGS (B) of *Rumex crispus*, at 24 days. Means with different letters indicate significant differences according to Tukey's test ( $P<0.05$ ). Vertical bars on each column represent the standard error ( $n=4$ ).**

For MGS, no significant difference was observed in germination rate among the treatments of 0, 100, and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> concentrations of dichloromethane fraction, however, it was significantly different after the 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment ( $P<0.05$ ), which had the lowest germination rate of  $0.89\pm 0.43$  seeds/d, indicating that it did not reach the germination rate of even one seed per day. In contrast, treatment with 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> exhibited the highest mean germination rate, with approximately 4 seeds/d, followed by the 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment and finally the 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment ( $3.27\pm 0.40$  seeds/d) (Fig. 2B).

### Mean germination time and seed viability of *R. crispus*

The seeds treated with concentrations of 0 and 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> dichloromethane fraction from *C. lineatifolia* did not show significant differences ( $P>0.05$ ) between each other or with the concentrations of 300 and 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. However, significant differences were observed between the treatments of 300 and 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> for the MGT. The treatment that took the longest time for germination was 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> with an MGT= $18.18\pm 3.07$  d, and on the contrary, the one with the shortest time was 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> with an MGT= $9.68\pm 0.58$  d (Fig. 3A).



**Figure 3. Effect of different concentrations of the dichloromethane fraction from *Campomanesia lineatifolia* on the mean germination time – MGT (A) and seed viability (B) of *Rumex crispus*. Means with different letters indicate significant differences according to Tukey's test ( $P<0.05$ ). Vertical bars on each column represent the standard error ( $n=4$ ).**


The viability test results are shown in figure 3B, with the following categories: nonviable, doubtful, and viable seeds. No statistically significant differences were found between viable and doubtful seeds. However, significant differences were observed among the treatments for nonviable seeds, with the 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment showing 33±1.76% of nonviable seeds. This indicates that the dichloromethane fraction may have caused the death of the *R. crispus* embryos.

### Behavior of germination as a function of time for *A. hybridus*

For *A. hybridus*, germination and germination speed as a time function were described using the logistic model (Fig. 4, Tab. 2). The 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment always showed a higher germination percentage than the other treatments. The second treatment with the highest germination was the 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> dichloromethane fraction from *C. lineatifolia*, followed by the 300 and 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatments. According to figure 4, the germination of the 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment began after 20 d and barely reached 5%. There was a difference of at least 15% in germination percentage between the treatments with a concentration of 100 and the 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, similar to the difference observed between the 100 and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> dichloromethane fraction from *C. lineatifolia* (Fig. 4A).

The germination speed over time for the 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment was higher than that for the other treatments from the early days of the experiment. The results obtained for the 0, 100, and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatments were in contrast with those obtained for the 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment (Fig. 4B), which reached its peak germination speed in the later days. This could be attributed to the fact that the

treatment with the concentration demonstrating the lowest germination rate prolongs the latency period in *A. hybridus*.



**Figure 4.** Changes in germination percentage (A) and germination speed (B) of *Amaranthus hybridus* in response to different concentrations of the dichloromethane fraction of *Campomanesia lineatifolia* over time.


**Table 2. Logistic model equations for the germination percentage of *Amaranthus hybridus* to different concentrations of the dichloromethane fraction from *Campomanesia lineatifolia*.**

Treatment	Equation	RMSE
0 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	$Y = \frac{28.169398}{1 + e^{-0.301963*(d-9.014627)}}$	1.908827***
100 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	$Y = \frac{11.125818}{1 + e^{-0.303659*(d-10.544016)}}$	0.8073167***
300 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	$Y = \frac{5.539499}{1 + e^{-0.433919*(d-13.548202)}}$	0.2128392***
600 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	$Y = \frac{1.663998}{1 + e^{-1.601025*(d-22.677771)}}$	0.0309733***

RMSE: root mean square error. \*\*\*  $P < 0.001$ .

### Germination and mean germination speed of *A. hybridus*

The 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatments germination speed over the time was higher than of the other treatments from the early days of the experiment. Figure 5A shows the decrease in germination percentage with an increase in the concentration of dichloromethane; thus, the most efficient treatment for inhibiting germination is the application of 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of dichloromethane fraction from *C. lineatifolia*.




**Figure 5. Effect of different concentrations of the dichloromethane fraction from *Campomanesia lineatifolia* on the germination (A) and mean germination speed (B) of *Amaranthus hybridus*, at 24 days. Averages with different letters indicate a significant difference according to Tukey's test ( $P < 0.05$ ). Vertical bars on each average represent the standard error ( $n=4$ ).**

Statistically significant differences were observed between the 0, 100, and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatments of the dichloromethane fraction from *C. lineatifolia*. In contrast, no significant differences were observed between the 300 and 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatments. As shown in figure 5B, the 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment exhibited the highest germination speed with 1.9±0.21 seeds/d, whereas the 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment had the lowest germination speed with 0.03±0.02 seeds/d. Like the previous variable, as the concentration increases, the germination speed decreases.

### Mean germination time and seed viability of *A. hybridus*

No significant differences ( $P>0.05$ ) were observed between the 0 and 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> dichloromethane fractions from *C. lineatifolia*. However, differences were observed between the other treatments. The treatment without any concentration registered the shortest germination time with an MGT of 11.1±0.63 d (Fig. 6A), followed by the 100 and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatments. Finally, the treatment with the longest germination time was, as in the case of *R. crispus*, the 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment, with an MGT of 23.38±0.47 d. Therefore, the 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment was more efficient in increasing the germination time.



**Figure 6.** Effect of different concentrations of the dichloromethane fraction from the *Campomanesia lineatifolia* extract on the mean germination time -MGT (A) and seed viability (B) of *Amaranthus hybridus*. Means with different letters indicate a significant difference according to Tukey's test ( $P<0.05$ ). Vertical bars on each column represent the standard error ( $n=4$ ).

The results of the viability test for *A. hybridus* are shown in figure 6B. No significant differences were observed between the treatments for doubtful seeds, with the highest percentage for this state being 38±3.06% in the 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment. Significant differences ( $P<0.05$ ) were

observed for the viable seeds. The 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment had the highest percentage of viable seeds at 42±1.15%. Seeds exposed to a concentration of 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> showed significant differences from the other treatments for nonviable seeds. The treatment without any concentration had the lowest percentage of nonviable seeds, which increased as the concentrations increased. The 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment had the highest percentage of nonviable seeds at 42±2.31%. This suggests that the fraction kills the seed embryos as the concentration increases, with the highest concentration being the most effective.

## DISCUSSION

In *R. crispus*, the germination behavior of the treatments with 100 and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> is quite prominent, as throughout most of the experiment, the germination percentage was higher than that of the 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatment. It was also evident that the seeds treated with 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> started germinating earlier and reached a higher percentage at the end of the experiment. *R. crispus* can exhibit dormancy due to air impermeability (lack of gas exchange) caused by the layers surrounding the embryo (Muhamad *et al.*, 2014; Martínez and De la Barrera, 2020), according to Tubeileh and Souikane (2020), at low concentrations, phenolic compounds can stimulate the germination of seeds with a certain degree of impermeability through chemical scarification, making the seeds slightly more permeable. In the same study, it was mentioned that high hydroxybenzoic acids concentrations inhibit plant development, including root growth. However, they promote germination at low concentrations by positively stimulating cell differentiation. This could explain why treatments with low concentrations of the fraction (100 and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) appeared to be favored compared with control or treatments with higher concentrations.

Additionally, Sugauara *et al.* (2023) fractionated the hydroalcoholic extract of *Campomanesia xanthocarpa* leaves, and in the fractions with a higher proportion of dichloromethane, they found the main antioxidant activity of the extract. Flavonoid compounds such as naringenin have been identified in these fractions (Sugauara *et al.*, 2023). A similar compound, naringenin, was also found in the hydroalcoholic extract of *C. lineatifolia* seeds by Maestre *et al.* (2023). Antioxidant compounds promote the preservation of seed viability and germination percentage because oxidation affects seed tissues, increases the presence of toxic substances, reduces protein synthesis, and impairs the ability to synthesize hydrolytic enzymes (Bewley *et al.*, 2013). However, at high concentrations, antioxidant compounds can be harmful to plants, causing damage to cellular components such as proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids (Kasote *et al.*, 2015).

For the fraction studied, at high concentrations (600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>), *R. crispus* exhibits a lower germination percentage, lower MGS and higher MGT, showing statistically significant differences compared to the fraction with the lowest concentration. In a study carried out by Maestre *et al.* (2023), the components present in the ethanolic extract of *C. lineatifolia* seeds were characterized, revealing 20 phenolic compounds. Together, these compounds have a negative effect on the germination of *R. crispus*, this is probably because they alter the concentrations of phytohormones involved in the germination process.

The results obtained for *A. hybridus* highlighted the differences among the treatments. In terms of germination percentage and germination speed, seeds without fraction concentration showed more favorable results than those with other fraction concentrations. There was a proportional relationship between the fraction's concentration and seed germination inhibition, with higher concentrations demonstrating greater inhibition. These results are consistent with those of other studies that have reported allelopathic inhibition on species of the *Amaranthus* genus (Kumar *et al.*, 2009; Poonpaiboonpipat *et al.*, 2021; Sun *et al.*, 2022; Maestre *et al.*, 2023). In the 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup> dichloromethane fraction from *C. lineatifolia*, seeds began to germinate after 20 d. This result could be attributed to seed dormancy, a common process in various seeds. However, hormonal disruptions, which can modulate the dormancy process, can affect germination. The presence of an inhibitory molecule may cause physiological dormancy (Sohn *et al.*, 2021). According to La Iacona *et al.* (2024), plant extracts contain a phenolic compound, which is consistent with the findings of Maestre *et al.* (2023), who identified such compounds in the extract of *C. lineatifolia*. Several phenolic compounds inhibit the action of other plant hormones, including gibberellins, which induce germination by stimulating hydrolytic enzyme synthesis, degrading reserve substances, and weakening seed coats when the seeds are in dormancy (Niño-Hernandez *et al.*, 2020; La Iacona *et al.*, 2024). The increase in vanillic acid, a compound found in the seed extract of *C. lineatifolia*, reduces the incorporation of specific amino acids into proteins, thereby reducing the amount of proteins present in the seeds. The quantity of free amino acids is used to evaluate the effect of herbicides, where high proportions indicate high protease activity for the utilization of storage reserves (Chauhan *et al.*, 2022).

Because of the suppression of enzymatic activities, the MGS was significantly reduced. In this experiment, the treatment with the highest MGS was the 0 mg L<sup>-1</sup> concentration, whereas the treatments with lower concentrations showed significant differences compared with the treatment



without extract of *C. lineatifolia*. This is because the germination rate is often affected even by low concentrations of allelochemicals that directly impact protein synthesis (Cabeza *et al.*, 2021; Chauhan *et al.*, 2022). These findings are consistent with the results obtained by Maestre *et al.* (2023), who applied different concentrations of *C. lineatifolia* seed extract (0, 3, 6, and 9%) to *A. hybridus* seeds.

The seed viability tests showed a high percentage of nonviable seeds for both weed species at 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that the dichloromethane fraction at this concentration causes embryo death. Specifically, for *A. hybridus*, the 100 and 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup> treatments showed significant differences compared with the control for viable seeds. This is related to the behavior of the germination speed (Fig. 6B), which indicates that these treatments prolong seed dormancy. According to Flores *et al.* (2015), the low polarity of the dichloromethane fraction affected the seeds because of its ability to penetrate the seed and tissues.

## CONCLUSIONS

The dichloromethane fraction of *C. lineatifolia* seed extract exhibited allelopathic activity, although this activity differed for the two weed species studied. At low concentrations, *R. crispus* can promote germination in terms of germination percentage, MGS, and MGT. However, at higher concentrations, it has a negative effect on germination behavior, delaying the germination time and reducing the MGS and germination percentage. In contrast, for *A. hybridus*, even the treatment with the lowest concentration negatively affected germination behavior, with the highest fraction concentration being more effective. The fraction appears to influence the duration of seed dormancy. Additionally, for seeds of *A. hybridus* and *R. crispus* treated with 600 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, there was an increase in the percentage of nonviable seeds, indicating embryo death caused by the treatment with the dichloromethane fraction. However, more research is still needed for its use as a potential bioherbicide.

**Author contributions:** Conceptualization, A.M.H., E.P.O., J.P.H and H.E.B.-L.; methodology, A.M.H. and E.P.O.; formal analysis, A.M.H., and H.E.B.-L.; investigation, A.M.H., E.P.O., J.P.H and H.E.B.-L.; writing—original draft preparation, A.M.H., E.P.O., J.P.H and H.E.B.-L.; writing—review and editing, A.M.H., E.P.O., J.P.H and H.E.B.-L.; visualization, A.M.H. and H.E.B.-L.;

supervision, E.P.O., J.P.H and H.E.B.-L.; project administration, E.P.O.; funding acquisition, E.P.O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Conflict of interests:** The manuscript was prepared and reviewed with the participation of the authors, who declare that there exists no conflict of interest that puts at risk the validity of the presented results.

## BIBLIOGRAPHIC REFERENCES

Abdel Ghani, A.E., M.S.M. Al-Saleem, W.M. Abdel-Mageed, E.M. AbouZeid, M.Y. Mahmoud, and R.H. Abdallah. 2023. UPLC-ESI-MS/MS profiling and cytotoxic, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic, and antiobesity activities of the non-polar fractions of *Salvia hispanica* L. aerial parts. *Plants* 12(5), 1062. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12051062>

Barbosa, L.M.P., J.O. Santos, R.C.M. Sousa, J.L.B. Furtado, P. Vidinha, M.A.S. Garcia, H.A. Vitorino, and D.F. Dall'Oglio. 2023. Bioherbicide from *Azadirachta indica* seed waste: Exploitation, efficient extraction of neem oil and allelopathic effect on *Senna occidentalis*. *Recycling* 8(3), 50. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/recycling8030050>

Bewley, J.D., K.J. Bradford, H.W.M. Hilhorst, and H. Nonogaki. 2013. *Seeds: Physiology of development, germination and dormancy*. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Springer, New York, NY.

Cabeza, H.A., H.E. Balaguera-López, and D.S. Useche de Vega. 2021. Alelopatía del extracto de *Campomanesia lineatifolia* sobre *Taraxacum officinale*. *Cienc. Tecnol. Agropecuaria* 22(3), e2010. Doi: [https://doi.org/10.21930/rcta.vol22\\_num3\\_art:2010](https://doi.org/10.21930/rcta.vol22_num3_art:2010)

Cepeda, A., J.E. Vélez-Sánchez, and H.E. Balaguera-Lopez. 2021. Analysis of growth and physicochemical changes in apple cv. Anna in a high-altitude tropical climate. *Rev. Colomb. Cienc. Hortic.* 15(2), 12508. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.17584/rcch.2021v15i2.12508>

Chauhan, A., N. Kumari, D.C. Saxena, and S. Singh. 2022. Effect of germination on fatty acid profile, amino acid profile and minerals of amaranth (*Amaranthus* spp.) grain. *J. Food Meas. Charact.* 16, 1777-1786. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-022-01292-7>

Chauvel, B., J.-P. Guillemain, J. Gasquez, and C. Gauvrit. 2012. History of chemical weeding from 1944 to 2011 in France: Changes and evolution of herbicide molecules. *Crop Prot.* 42, 320-326. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2012.07.011>

Cobb, A.H. 2022. *Herbicides and plant physiology*. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Wiley, Chichester, UK.

De Rzedowski, G.C. 2005. *Flora fanerogámica del Valle de México*. 2<sup>a</sup> ed. Instituto de Ecología; Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad, Pátzcuaro, México. pp. 111-127.

El-Gawad, A.A., A. Elshamy, A.E.-N. El Gendy, A. Gaara, and A. Assaeed. 2019. Volatiles profiling, allelopathic activity, and antioxidant potentiality of *Xanthium strumarium* leaves essential oil from Egypt: Evidence from chemometrics analysis. *Molecules* 24(3), 584. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24030584>

Feduraev, P., G. Chupakhina, P. Maslennikov, N. Tacenko, and L. Skrypnik. 2019. Variation in phenolic compounds content and antioxidant activity of different plant organs from *Rumex crispus* L. and *Rumex obtusifolius* L. at different growth stages. *Antioxidants* 8(7), 237. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox8070237>

Flórez, M.C. 2018. Valoración de catequinas y cafeína en productos comerciales de té verde y su comparación con técnicas cromatográficas más económicas. Undergraduate thesis. Facultad de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad ICESI, Santiago de Cali, Colombia.

Flores, M.A., E. Sánchez, and R. Pérez. 2015. Potencial alelopático de extractos foliares de *Astragalus mollissimus* Torr. sobre la germinación *in vitro* de semillas de maleza. *Rev. Mex. Cienc. Agric.* 6(5), 1093-1103. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.29312/remexca.v6i5.601>

García, M.J., C. Palma-Bautista, J.G. Vazquez-Garcia, A.M. Rojano-Delgado, M.D. Osuna, J. Torra, and R. De Prado. 2020. Multiple mutations in the *EPSPS* and *ALS* genes of *Amaranthus hybridus* underlie resistance to glyphosate and ALS inhibitors. *Sci. Rep.* 10(1), 17681. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-74430-0>

González, A.K., L.F. González-Martínez, L.D. Córdoba, A. Rincón, and H.E. Balaguera-López. 2021. Regulating the postharvest life of *Campomanesia lineatifolia* R. & P. fruits through the interaction of ethylene, 1-methylcyclopropene and low temperatures. *Rev. Colomb. Cienc. Hortic.* 15(2), e12499. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.17584/rcch.2021v15i2.12499>

Haddou, S., A. Elrherabi, E.H. Loukili, R. Abdnim, A. Hbika, M. Bouhrim, O. Al Kamaly, A. Saleh, A.A. Shahat, M. Bnouham, B. Hammouti, and A. Chahine. 2024. Chemical analysis of the antihyperglycemic, and pancreatic  $\alpha$ -amylase, lipase, and intestinal  $\alpha$ -glucosidase inhibitory activities of *Cannabis sativa* L. seed extracts. *Molecules* 29(1), 93. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29010093>

Kasote, D.M., S.S. Katyare, M.V. Hegde, and H. Bae. 2015. Significance of antioxidant potential of plants and its relevance to therapeutic applications. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 11(8), 982-991. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.12096>

Kudsk, P. and J.C. Streibig. 2003. Herbicides - a two-edged sword. *Weed Res.* 43(2), 90-102. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3180.2003.00328.x>

Kumar, V., D.C. Brainard, and R.R. Bellinder. 2009. Suppression of powell amaranth (*Amaranthus powellii*) by buckwheat residues: role of allelopathy. *Weed Sci.* 57(1), 66-73. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1614/WS-08-028.1>

La Iacona, M., S. Lombardo, G. Mauromicale, A. Scavo, and G. Pandino. 2024. Allelopathic activity of three wild Mediterranean Asteraceae: *Silybum marianum*, *Cynara cardunculus* var.

*sylvestris*, *Galactites tomentosus*. Agronomy 14(3), 575. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14030575>

Landrum, L. 1986. *Campomanesia*, *Pimenta*, *Blepharocalyx*, *Legrandia*, *Acca*, *Myrrhinium*, and *Luma* (Myrtaceae). Flora Neotrop. Monogr. 45: 1-179

Leguízamo-Medina, M.F., E.H. Pinzón-Sandoval, and H.E. Balaguera-López. 2022. Phenology analysis growing and degree days of flower bud growth in three *Dianthus caryophyllus* L. varieties under greenhouse conditions. Rev. Colomb. Cienc. Hort. 16(3), e15296. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.17584/rch.2022v16i3.15296>

Maestre, L., E. Palacios, B.L. Moreno, H.E. Balaguera-López, and J.P. Hernandez. 2023. Hydroalcoholic extracts of *Campomanesia lineatifolia* R. & P. seeds inhibit the germination of *Rumex crispus* and *Amaranthus hybridus*. Horticulturae 9(2), 177. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae9020177>

Martínez, C.A., H.E. Balaguera-López, and J.A. Fonseca. 2022. Bioherbicidal activity of seed extract of *Campomanesia lineatifolia* on the weed *Sonchus oleraceus* L. Agron. Colomb. 40(1), 49-57. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.15446/agron.colomb.v40n1.98502>

Martínez, D.N. and E. De la Barrera. 2020. Ecofisiología de la germinación de tres malezas efímeras periurbanas en Morelia, Michoacán, México. La Granja: Rev. Cienc. Vida 31(1), 47-55. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.17163/lgr.n31.2020.03>

Muhamad, N., S.A. Muhmed, M.M. Yusoff, and J. Gim bun. 2014. Influence of solvent polarity and conditions on extraction of antioxidant, flavonoids and phenolic content from *Averrhoa bilimbi*. J. Food Sci. Eng. 4, 255-260. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.17265/2159-5828/2014.05.006>

Ngoroyemoto, N., S. Gupta, M.G. Kulkarni, J.F. Finnie, and J. Van Staden. 2019. Effect of organic biostimulants on the growth and biochemical composition of *Amaranthus hybridus* L. S. Afr. J. Bot. 124, 87-93. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2019.03.040>

Niño-Hernandez, J.C., D.F. Moreno, H.D. Ruiz-Berrío, H.E. Balaguera-López, and S. Magnitskiy . 2020. Luz, giberelinas y profundidad de siembra inciden sobre la germinación de semillas de *Amaranthus hybridus* L. Rev. UDCA Act. Div. Cient. 23(2), e1545. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.31910/rudca.v23.n2.2020.1545>

Parra, O. 2014. Sinopsis de la familia Myrtaceae y clave para la identificación de los géneros nativos e introducidos en Colombia. Rev. Acad. Colomb. Cienc. Ex. Fis. Nat. 38(148), 261-277. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.18257/racefyn.128>

Petrova, S.T., E.G. Valcheva, and I.G. Velcheva. 2015. A case study of allelopathic effect on weeds in wheat. Ecol. Balk. 7(1), 121-129.

Poonpaiboonpipat, T., R. Krumsri, and H. Kato-Noguchi. 2021. Allelopathic and herbicidal effects of crude extract from *Chromolaena odorata* (L.) RM King and H. Rob. on *Echinochloa crus-galli* and *Amaranthus viridis*. Plants 10(8), 1609. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10081609>

Rojas, L.Y. 2021. Caracterización química y evaluación de la actividad biológica sobre líneas celulares tumorales de extracto de hojas de *Tillandsia usneoides* (Barba de viejo). MSc thesis. Faculty of Sciences, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Colombia.

Shams, R., S. Kaur, K.K. Dash, N. Czipa, B. Kovács, and A.M. Shaikh. 2024. A review of emerging technologies for the extraction of bioactive compounds from berries (*Phalsa Berries*). Horticulturae 10(5), 455. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae10050455>

Sohn, S.-I., S. Pandian, T.S. Kumar, Y.A.B. Zoclanclounon, P. Muthuramalingam, J. Shilpha, L. Satish, and M. Ramesh. 2021. Seed dormancy and pre-harvest sprouting in rice—an updated overview. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22(21), 11804. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222111804>

Sugauara, R.R., W.C. Bortolucci, C.M.M. Fernandez, M.R.P. Cabral, R.A.C. Gonçalves, M.H. Sarragiotto, J.E. Gonçalves, N.B. Colauto, G.A. Linde, M.G.I.F. Nunes, S.P. Ruiz and Z.C. Gazim. 2023. Valorization of *Campomanesia xanthocarpa* leaves: Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of crude extract and fractions. Bol. Latinoam. Caribe Plant. Med. Aromat. 22(3), 301-313. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.37360/blacpma.23.22.3.22>

Sun, W., C. Yang, X. Shan, M. An, and X. Wang. 2022. Allelopathic toxicity of cyanamide could control amaranth (*Amaranthus retroflexus* L.) in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) field. Molecules 27(21), 7347. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27217347>

Tubeileh, A.M. and R.T. Souikane. 2020. Effect of olive vegetation water and compost extracts on seed germination of four weed species. Current Plant Biol. 22, 100150. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpb.2020.100150>

Zhu, X., G. Dao, Y. Tao, X. Zhan, and H. Hu. 2021. A review on control of harmful algal blooms by plant-derived allelochemicals. J. Hazard. Mater. 401, 123403. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.123403>

Zimdahl, R.L. 2018. Fundamentals of weed science. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Academic Press, New York, USA. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/C2015-0-04331-3>